

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/15/2003	Serengulam V. Govindan	328884	2607
35657 7590 12/17/2007 FAEGRE & BENSON LLP PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET		EXAMINER	
		FETTEROLF, BRANDON J	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901		1642	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			PAPER
	SON LLP ETING RGO CENTER ENTH STREET	SON LLP ETING RGO CENTER ENTH STREET	SON LLP ETING RGO CENTER ENTH STREET  EXAM  EXAM  FETTEROLF,  ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/734,589	GOVINDAN, SERENGULAM V.		
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Brandon J. Fetterolf, PhD	1642		
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence adarees		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timus will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	<b>\.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	<u>eptember 2007</u> .			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)	vn from consideration.	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Paper No(s) Date of Informal Paper No(s) Date of Informal Paper No(s)			

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- -An antibody comprising the light chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences KASQDVSIAVA (SEQ ID NO:7), SASYRYT ((SEQ ID NO:8) and QQHYITPLT (SEQ ID NO:9) and heavy chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences NYGMN (SEQ ID NO: 10), WINTYTGEPTYTDDFKG (SEQ ID NO: 11) and GGFGSSYWYFDV (SEQ ID NO: 12).
- An antibody comprising the heavy chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences NYGVN (SEQ ID NO: 16), WINPNTGEPTFDDDFKG (SEQ ID NO: 17), SRGKNEAWFAY (SEQ ID NO: 18) and light chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences RSSQSLVHRNGNTYLH (SEQ ID NO:13), TVSNRFS (SEQ ID NO:14) and SQSSHVPPT (SEQ ID NO:15).
- -An antibody comprising the light chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences RASSSVSYIH (SEQ ID NO:30), ATSNLAS (SEQ ID NO:31), QQWTSNPPT (SEQ ID NO:32) and heavy chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences SYNMH (SEQ ID NO:33), AIYPGNGDTSYNQKFKG (SEQ ID NO:34), STYYGGDWYFDV (SEQ ID NO:35).
- -An antibody comprising the light chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences KASQDVSIAVA (SEQ ID NO:7), SASYRYT ((SEQ ID NO:8) and QQHYITPLT (SEQ ID NO:9) and heavy chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences NYGMN (SEQ ID NO: 10), WINTYTGEPTYTDDFKG (SEQ ID NO: 11) and GGFGSSYWYFDV (SEQ ID NO: 12).
- -An antibody comprising the heavy chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences NYGVN (SEQ ID NO: 16), WINPNTGEPTFDDDFKG (SEQ ID NO: 17), SRGKNEAWFAY (SEQ ID NO: 18) and light chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences RSSQSLVHRNGNTYLH (SEQ ID NO:13), TVSNRFS (SEQ ID NO:14) and SQSSHVPPT (SEQ ID NO:15).

Art Unit: 1642

- An antibody comprising the light chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences RASSSVSYIH (SEQ ID NO:30), ATSNLAS (SEQ ID NO:31), QQWTSNPPT (SEQ ID NO:32) and heavy chain variable region sequence comprising the CDR sequences SYNMH (SEQ ID NO:33), AIYPGNGDTSYNQKFKG (SEQ ID NO:34), STYYGGDWYFDV (SEQ ID NO:35).

. The species are independent or distinct because each of the specifically claimed antibodies consisting of the specifically recited amino acid sequences lack unity of invention because the amino acid sequences have no substantial structural similarities. *In re Harnisch*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300(CCPA 1980); and *Ex parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984).

Furthermore, there are approximately eight different databases that accompany the results of a search of <u>one</u> discrete amino acid or nucleotide sequence and each result set from a particular database must be carefully considered. Hence, the search of two different amino acid sequences, and different amino acid segments in the databases would require extensive searching and review.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number:

10/734,589 Art Unit: 1642

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J. Fetterolf, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-2919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD Patent Examiner Art Unit 1642

Stinder Tother 9, Mil